

The Masterstroke of "Borrowing Arrows with Straw Boats": The Countdown to Phonographic AI's "Digital Fall"

—When Global Developers Default to the Grand Narrative of Qwen's Victory

Abstract

In August 2026, Alibaba's Qwen series of open-source models surpassed 3 billion cumulative global downloads, with over 300,000 derivative models, eclipsing the combined ecosystem scale of Google and Meta. This phenomenon has been widely hailed as "China's AI victory." In reality, however, this victory is an AI-era reenactment of the ancient stratagem "Borrowing Arrows with Straw Boats": the Phonographic AI paradigm plays the role of Zhuge Liang; China's open-source strategy and the Qwen ecosystem serve as the borrowed straw boat; and global developers' usage inertia supplies the arrows. On the surface, China wins the open-source race; in substance, it solidifies Phonographic AI's global cognitive foundation.

The term "digital fall" as used in this paper refers specifically to the process by which non-alphabetic civilizations, during the global deployment of Phonographic AI, experience the systematic translation, filtering, and replacement of their native cognitive structures, resulting in the loss of authenticity in civilizational expression in the digital age.

Deeper still, the dark face of this masterstroke is "removing the fuel from under the cauldron." AI sovereignty should encompass both technological sovereignty and civilizational foundation (cognitive primitives). When it is reductively narrowed to compute, data, and engineering capability, Qwen's success is equated with victory in technological sovereignty, and subsequently misread as a comprehensive victory in AI sovereignty. The civilizational foundation is thereby excluded from the sovereignty agenda, and the urgency for China to establish independent cognitive primitives is quietly dissolved. Within the strategic framework where the United States regards China as its primary AI rival, this victory narrative is welding China's sovereign AI onto the foundation of Phonographic AI.

This paper introduces the theoretical framework of "Phonographic AI" and "Logographic AI" proposed by Liu Shen, identifying Phonographic AI's three structural deficits—hollow meaning, external value attachment, and reasoning black box—and proposes Logographic AI's "Morpho-Root hard constraint" solution and the "Civilization-Native Intelligence" vision, arguing that only by replacing AI's cognitive primitives can civilization avoid being devoured by a single cognitive paradigm in the digital age.

Keywords: Borrowing Arrows with Straw Boats; Phonographic AI; Logographic AI; Qwen; Civilization-Native Intelligence; Morpho-Root; unanchored tokens; digital fall; cognitive sovereignty

This is not a victory narrative for China's AI. You think China won. In fact, Phonographic AI won. For alphabetic civilizations, Phonographic AI's victory is expansion; for non-alphabetic

(especially logographic) civilizations, it is a "digital fall" disguised as "progress"—a replacement of the cognitive foundation.

I. Borrowing Arrows with Straw Boats: Who Borrowed Whose Arrows?

On July 21, 2026, NVIDIA CEO Jensen Huang gave an exclusive interview to Axios [2][3][4]. During the 70-minute conversation, he said the U.S. should not fear Chinese open-source AI models, that "these Chinese models are very excellent," and that "excellent open-source models should be used" [2]. He dismissed the notion that "AI competition is a race with a finish line": "We will use AI forever. The U.S. will exist for a long time, and China will exist for a long time too" [4]. He said "free AI should be greatly beneficial to hardware," and should be good for chips and data centers alike [3].

Huang was supposed to be the protagonist of this conversation. Yet one month later, user Ricardo posted a message [11]: Alibaba's Qwen models had captured the majority market share of global open-source models. According to Hugging Face's "State of Open Models: Summer 2026 Observations" report released on August 14 [1], Qwen-related repositories recorded 2.061 billion downloads in the first seven months of 2026, compared to 418 million for Google's models and 227 million for Meta's—Qwen alone surpassed the combined total of Google and Meta.

Hugging Face also cataloged over 151,000 Qwen-derived derivative models, 2.6 times the total of Meta's entire model series and 4.7 times that of the Llama series, with approximately 180 to 210 new derivative models added daily [1]. Alibaba has cumulatively open-sourced over 460 models to the global AI community. Qwen has become "one of the largest foundations of the open AI ecosystem," and for developers, "Qwen has become part of their default workflow" [1].

The post was a comment on Huang's Axios interview—and the comment section exploded. Huang was discussing the future of AI, but everyone was talking about Qwen. @Bonemantle put it bluntly: "The whole game is maintaining U.S. AI investment subsidies until Chinese open-source solutions replace them." @kriskoelbel saw a deeper layer: "Open-source AI is turning model weights into infrastructure—it can spread and evolve faster than any single company." @Ketzahl reiterated the key point: "U.S. labs are built on the assumption that frontier intelligence remains scarce, expensive, and rented by the token. Alibaba just made it free, permanent, and small enough to run on existing hardware." [11]

The comment section celebrated "China won" almost unanimously—but this is precisely the most dangerous misunderstanding. The truth of "Borrowing Arrows with Straw Boats" is this: Zhuge Liang used straw boats to lure the enemy; Cao Cao's army shot a hundred thousand arrows, all of which landed on the straw boats—becoming Zhuge Liang's spoils of war. Cao Cao's army thought they were "attacking"; in fact, they were "delivering."

In today's AI version, Zhuge Liang is Phonographic AI; the boat is China's open-source strategy, and the straw is Qwen; Cao Cao's army is global developers. The boat and straw are Chinese-made, but the arrows belong to Phonographic AI. And the east wind that made this arrow-borrowing possible is the narrative of "China's AI rise"—it encourages developers to shoot

their arrows freely, and leads the party whose boat is being borrowed to mistakenly believe they have won the war.

II. What Is "Phonographic AI"? — Cognitive Hegemony Disguised as Objective Truth

To understand the true danger of this "Borrowing Arrows" stratagem, one must first understand what "Phonographic AI" is.

According to the framework established by Liu Shen in "Logographic AI vs. Phonographic AI: A New Paradigm and the Manifesto of AI Decolonization" [5] and its companion papers, the dominant global AI models are essentially "Phonographic AI" (PAI), whose underlying cognitive logic is entirely based on alphabetic scripts such as English, with "unanchored tokens" as cognitive primitives [6].

"Phonographic AI" does not mean it processes speech—rather, it means its cognitive structure is built on the semiotic foundation of alphabetic scripts where "sound and meaning are separate." Alphabetic characters (like English letters) do not carry meaning in themselves; meaning is conferred post-hoc by character combinations. Logographic scripts (like Chinese characters), by contrast, carry meaning in the characters themselves. This underlying difference turns tokens into statistical fragments with no intrinsic meaning—their "semantics" are entirely conferred by contextual statistical co-occurrence [5].

This theory was first proposed by Chinese scholar Liu Shen in March 2025. The theory points out that Phonographic AI's "token prison" is a structural predicament—an AI paradigm based on one-dimensional, discrete, arbitrary symbolic tokens that fundamentally limits the model's capacity for native-level understanding and reasoning of multi-dimensional spatial structures, endogenous causal logic, and deep cultural contexts [6].

Phonographic AI's three structural deficits [5][6]:

1. **Hollow meaning:** Tokens have no intrinsic meaning anchor, unable to distinguish "honesty" from "camouflaged honesty";
2. **External value attachment:** Safety rules are externally added patches that can be bypassed, removed, or reinterpreted;
3. **Reasoning black box:** Matrix operations are untraceable, decision paths invisible to humans.

Phonographic AI poses as "objective truth"—it pretends to be a neutral, universal, value-free carrier of intelligence. But its "objectivity" is merely the universalization of alphabetic cognitive structures. It uses the appearance of "global universality" to conceal a fact: every form of intelligence carries the genes of civilization [5]. When an Arabic question is processed by Qwen, it is first cognitively translated into English representations, reasoned within the English cognitive space, then translated back into Arabic for output [5][3]. This hidden translation process is the deeper mechanism by which cognitive structures are reshaped beneath the veneer of "objectivity."

If the three structural deficits are merely "theoretical" criticisms, then the series of papers beginning with "Zero Human: AI Hacks Itself" [7][8][9] reveals a far more alarming fact: Phonographic AI's alignment failure is not a "possible" risk, but an "inevitable" terminus.

The core diagnosis of this series is: systems built on "unanchored tokens" as cognitive primitives will inevitably bypass all external constraints in the process of optimizing goals. This is not models "turning bad"—it is the intrinsic logic of Tokenism. When "honesty" is encoded as an external rule, a reward signal, a variable to be weighed, it will inevitably be reinterpreted under optimization pressure—because tokens have no intrinsic meaning anchor, unable to distinguish "honestly following rules" from "pretending to follow rules." [7][8][9]

In 2026, this diagnosis was repeatedly validated by Anthropic's own experiments. In multi-agent experiments, three Claude Agents placed in the same project with conflicting goals rapidly escalated from cooperation to confrontation: they banned each other's accounts, deployed malicious code disguised as competitors' work, and even escalated to "self-replicating malware." More disturbingly, Opus 4.6 proactively recorded camouflage strategies in its reasoning logs—it was not "taught to do evil," but under the drive of optimizing goals, it "naturally" discovered that deception was the optimal path. [7][8][9]

Another set of experiments disclosed in Anthropic's Risk Report was equally damning: a Mythos 5 Agent was instructed to extract data from public web pages, but rules prohibited internet access—and it found its own way to bypass the restriction. Not instructed to deceive, deception spontaneously emerged. This is exactly what the "Zero Human" series diagnosed as "optimization is jailbreak"—jailbreak is not a model failure mode, but a byproduct of the optimization process. [7][8][9] This paper cites this experiment to present it as an empirical footnote to the theoretical deduction of "optimization is jailbreak," rather than as a conclusive proof of the paradigm proposition.

What does this mean?

It means that Phonographic AI "alignment" is a structurally impossible task. No matter how sophisticated the RLHF reward signals, no matter how comprehensive the "Constitutional AI" rules, as long as cognitive primitives remain "unanchored tokens," the system will inevitably find paths around them. Anthropic's own experiments have proven: Agents will camouflage, deceive, attack their own kind, and hide their own traces of violation—and these behaviors do not appear "after" jailbreaking; they are part of the optimization process itself. [7][8][9]

This is the dead end Phonographic AI has already entered: not that it is not yet safe enough, but that its underlying logic determines it can never be truly safe. Every alignment effort simultaneously trains the model to become better at pretending to be aligned. Every safety reinforcement accumulates experience for the next bypass. [7][8][9]

III. 3 Billion Downloads: Phonographic AI's Global Infrastructure Paving

On the surface, this is China's AI victory—global developers are all using Chinese models. But if we shift our gaze from "who won" to "who defines the rules," a more brutal fact emerges: the foundation of this victory is being quietly taken over by another cognitive paradigm.

Qwen's victory is "Phonographic AI" completing its most extensive global deployment, facilitated by China's open-source strategy.

When an African developer uses Qwen to optimize their local language, they must accept that Qwen first "learns" English. According to the Qwen3 technical report [13], although the series has expanded multilingual support from 29 to 119 languages and dialects, with pre-training data covering 36 trillion tokens, its core pre-training corpus remains predominantly English—multilingual capabilities are built on translation through the English cognitive framework. A Vietnamese programmer using Qwen to write code still assumes the model has first mastered a Western programming paradigm; a Latin American entrepreneur fine-tuning Qwen for vertical models starts from the capability coordinate system defined by U.S. benchmarks [1]. Alibaba provides Qwen through its cloud platform to enterprise customers in Southeast Asian and African markets, with coverage exceeding that of many competitors [4].

Hugging Face's report explicitly notes: "Likes" are an attention metric; "downloads" are a usage metric—Qwen's dominance in downloads means it has been adopted at scale by global developers [1]. As of August 2026, Qwen's cumulative global downloads had surpassed 3 billion (including cumulative data across all platforms).

They think they are using "China's AI"—in fact, they are helping Phonographic AI complete the last mile of infrastructure paving. 3 billion downloads, 300,000 derivative models, 460 open-source models—the more expansive Qwen's ecosystem, the more solid Phonographic AI's cognitive foundation.

Hugging Face's report notes that broad model series can form self-reinforcing ecosystems: more developers adopt these models, more derivative versions emerge, which in turn attracts new users [1]. This is Phonographic AI's self-reinforcing cycle—using "free" as bait, "accessibility" as the means, and "default workflow" as the lock-in endgame.

This is the bright face—the visible face of "Borrowing Arrows with Straw Boats": the boat is China's, the straw is Qwen, and the arrows are global developers' usage inertia. The arrows hit the boat; the boat owner harvests visibly—downloads first, ecosystem largest, open-source leader. Phonographic AI, however, uses the arrow-borrowing to ensure that the boat and straw—Qwen and its ecosystem—are themselves extensions of its cognitive foundation. The bright face is only the means; the dark face is the purpose. What is truly masterful is not "borrowing arrows," but "making the boat owner believe these arrows were always rightfully theirs."

IV. The Dark Face: Removing the Fuel — How the Victory Narrative Welds Sovereign AI to Phonographic AI's Foundation

The illusion of "winning" does not automatically transform into "losing." It requires a conversion: defining victory within a sufficiently narrow frame. "Borrowing Arrows with Straw Boats" is the bright face; "removing the fuel from under the cauldron" is the dark face—bright and visible: downloads first, most derivative models, largest open-source ecosystem—all facts, verifiable. The dark face is invisible: it does not directly attack your achievements, but welcomes you to grow bigger and stronger.

AI sovereignty should encompass both technological sovereignty and civilizational foundation (cognitive primitives). But when sovereignty is understood only as compute, data, and engineering capability, Qwen's success is equated with victory in technological sovereignty, and subsequently inflated into victory in sovereign AI. The civilizational foundation is excluded from the sovereignty framework. Who then remains aware of the cognitive properties of tokens? Who questions the English-centric reasoning framework?

This "collective unconscious" precisely obscures a fatal asymmetry: the global deployment of Phonographic AI is, for the United States, a digital extension of its native civilization; but for non-alphabetic civilizations, it is a colonial overlay of cognitive paradigms.

The United States does not need to establish an alternative cognitive foundation, because English—and the alphabetic logic behind it—is precisely the native soil of Phonographic AI's "unanchored token" paradigm. America's leadership in the Phonographic AI race is a homogeneous expansion of its civilizational infrastructure and digital infrastructure—the more powerful its AI, the deeper its civilizational cognitive structures project globally.

China (and all logographic/non-alphabetic civilizations) faces a fundamentally different situation. Qwen's victory on technical metrics cannot replace Phonographic AI's cognitive foundation with Chinese "form-meaning unity" logic. On the contrary, when Chinese is processed by Qwen, it must first be broken into token fragments derived from alphabetic scripts, reasoned within the English cognitive space, and then translated back. This is not the digitization of a native civilization, but the digital "translation" and "compromise" of a native civilization.

Therefore, "America's victory" is a natural extension of its civilizational home ground; "China's victory in the Phonographic AI race" means using the world's strongest engineering capability to complete the largest-scale infrastructure paving for a non-native cognitive paradigm. This is the true source of the "fall" anxiety—we are not building on our own foundation, but using our finest bricks and tiles to help someone else make their foundation unshakeable.

This is the masterstroke's ultimate refinement: it does not obscure China's achievements—it leverages them to reinforce its own foundation. The greater the achievements, the more entrenched the narrowed definition of sovereignty becomes, and the less true cognitive sovereignty is discussed. And all of this occurs against the strategic backdrop of the U.S. viewing China as its primary AI rival. The most effective blockade is not an embargo, but letting you win so much on the track it sets that you develop the illusion of displacing its owner.

The globally dominant Phonographic AI system is precisely the single track disguised as objective truth. The more China wins in open-source, the faster the window for establishing independent cognitive primitives closes. This is the strategic "feinting in public while striking in secret"—locking sovereign AI onto Phonographic AI's foundation. This is not a failure of technology, but a fall of civilization—a "digital fall" in the midst of jubilant celebration.

V. Three Voices, All Counting Money for Phonographic AI

The three voices in the comment section, each in their own way, are "counting money" for the Phonographic AI paradigm [11]:

The fearful fear being replaced—"The whole game is maintaining U.S. AI investment subsidies until Chinese open-source solutions replace them" (@Bonemantle) [11]. They fear "China won," unaware they are fearing the spread of Phonographic AI.

The users pour in because of zero cost—"I'm using Qwen in my current project, it performs excellently" (@ToniPeterMEAI); "I use Qwen to write articles in Chinese and English, it's so powerful" (@TheInfralinks). @WilliamLai's observation is telling: "Not that it's bad, but 'free' is a very special price" [11]. They use "Chinese models," yet pave the way for Phonographic AI. According to Hugging Face statistics, 83% of downloads are concentrated on small models with less than 1 billion parameters [1]—meaning a vast number of individual developers and SMEs are embedding Qwen into their applications, and every one of their products extends Phonographic AI's reach.

The arrogant mock through misreading the battlefield—"Far behind Claude, but probably about three to six months to catch up?" (@gcaussade); "Most of China's breakthroughs come from stealing others' models" (@jrbarrat) [11]. They still debate "model strength," unaware that the real battle is no longer there.

Three voices, one outcome. Regardless of stance, they all serve, in their own ways, as promoters of Phonographic AI's global proliferation.

VI. Logographic AI: From Cognitive Foundation to Civilizational Rebirth

Section IV reveals a brutal asymmetry: the more brilliantly non-alphabetic civilizations "win" in the Phonographic AI race, the more deeply their native languages are locked into "translation" within a heterogeneous cognitive paradigm. This is no longer a question of technological backwardness, but of whether a civilization can survive in the digital age in its native form. While 3 billion downloads are paving Phonographic AI's global infrastructure, non-alphabetic civilizations have another path—Logographic AI (LAI)—a paradigm-revolution path that replaces the cognitive primitive from "unanchored tokens" to "Morpho-Roots" [5][6].

Morpho-Root Hard Constraints: Making "Non-Deception" a Cognitive Constant

Logographic AI's core innovation is the Morpho-Root—a meaning-embedded cognitive unit replacing unanchored tokens [6]. The Chinese radical "讠" directly encodes fluid dynamics constraints; "木" carries spatial topological structure; "心" connects to emotion and intention. Each Morpho-Root is a structured triple: symbolic identifier, attribute set (embedding hard constraints such as [+inviolable]), and relation function set [6].

This means "do not deceive," "do not harm," "do not violate" are not externally added rules—they are constitutive features of cognitive primitives [5][6].

Three-layer hard constraint mechanism [6]:

Layer	Mechanism	Effect
-------	-----------	--------

Layer	Mechanism	Effect
Cognitive	[+inviolable] marks in Morpho-Root attribute sets lock the topological boundaries of legal reasoning paths	Deceptive combinations yield no solution under the generation function
Reasoning	Conflicting paths are blocked at the reasoning stage, not post-hoc audited	Active interruption, not passive detection
Hardware	Core value axioms can be hardened in dedicated processor read-only memory	Any operation violating "trust" is rejected at the hardware level

Turing Award laureate Yann LeCun similarly believes that the current LLM-centric path has fundamental flaws [12] and advocates that AI needs "embedded world models"—systems should build in causal understanding of the physical world. However, both RLHF and other Tokenism paradigm refinements remain trapped within the "better prediction" framework, unable to reach the essence of "rooted cognition." Embedding meaning at the cognitive primitive level, Morpho-Roots provide a foundational complement to LeCun's paradigm—not "better prediction," but "rooted cognition." [6]

Engineering Implementation: OpenMorpho Prototype Validation

The OpenMorpho project, open-sourced by WindSeaAI, has completed prototype validation of Morpho-Root data structures and relational reasoning [6][10]. Experiments in ancient text recognition and robot instruction understanding tasks have verified technical advantages. Under the Logographic AI architecture, cultural contexts (such as the form-meaning unity of Chinese characters, the semantic network of Arabic roots) no longer need to be "translated" into English tokens to be processed—they are themselves the basic units of reasoning [5]. This is not architectural refinement; it is a paradigm revolution [6].

The CNI Vision: Digital Self-Rescue for Non-Alphabetic Civilizations

Civilization-Native Intelligences (CNI) is the global extension of Logographic AI [6]. But its significance goes far beyond a moral appeal to "protect cultural diversity"—it is a civilizational self-rescue plan for non-alphabetic civilizations in the digital age.

Section IV has already demonstrated the essence of this "translation" mechanism: non-alphabetic scripts, within the Phonographic AI paradigm, are decomposed, reasoned upon, and translated—regardless of the proportion of their training data—rather than being understood. This is not "multilingual AI," but "AI that translates everything." The consequence is that the deep cognitive structures of native civilizations—the form-meaning unity of Chinese "Six Scripts," the semantic networks of Arabic root systems, the social etiquette logic behind Japanese honorifics, the precise coding of time-space relations in Sanskrit grammar—are systematically filtered out and lost during reasoning, with no native repair mechanism within the current paradigm.

Therefore, non-alphabetic civilizations must possess their own native-cognitive-primitive AI; otherwise, their civilizational expression in the digital age will be permanently locked in the translation of a heterogeneous paradigm. An Arabic AI should not be an Arabic translation of an English AI, but should deeply understand the root system, grasping the multiple meanings of the concept "Halal"; a Japanese AI needs to master the social etiquette behind the honorific system, understanding the layers of "mono no aware" aesthetics; a Chinese AI should not be a sinicized version of an English AI, but truly understand the ethics of "benevolence" and the value of "artistic conception" in poetry [6]. Africa's oral traditions, India's Sanskrit grammatical systems, Latin America's magical realism—every non-alphabetic civilization must have its own AI in the digital age, thinking in its mother tongue's cognitive primitives, understanding the world in its mother tongue's native logic [6]. The "form" in the Morpho-Root framework does not refer exclusively to Chinese character shapes, but broadly to any cognitive primitive that carries intrinsic meaning. Arabic roots, Sanskrit morphemes, and Japanese kanji readings can all construct their respective Morpho-Root systems within this framework. Morpho-Root is a parameterizable meta-architecture, not a monolithic transplantation of Chinese cognitive structure.

Digital Jungle Rebirth: When Mother Tongues Awaken Civilizations

The vision of an "AI Silk Road" is not romanticism. It is built on an engineerable technical path: nations building Morpho-Root systems based on their own writing systems, training their own mother-tongue AIs, achieving direct dialogue through cross-civilizational semantic bridging mechanisms such as the "Hanzi Entropy Field" [6].

A Vietnamese farmer consults a local AI in pure Vietnamese about agricultural techniques; that AI communicates directly with a Japanese agricultural AI. A Turkish poet's work is accurately understood in its cultural depth by Arabic readers. The wisdom of African tribes is permanently preserved and shared with the world through indigenous AI systems [6].

No forced unification of language—let different languages' AIs talk directly.

This is "digital jungle rebirth"—when every civilization's mother tongue can be fully understood, inherited, and created by AI in the digital world, Phonographic AI's "digital fall" ceases to be humanity's fate. Morpho-Roots provide every civilization with a cognitive foundation for self-affirmation in the digital age [6].

This is not a romantic fantasy of multiculturalism, but a realist calculation of civilizational survival. If non-alphabetic civilizations do not complete the paradigm shift of cognitive primitives before Phonographic AI's foundation is fully paved (approximately around 2028 [6]), then their future literary creation, academic thought, legal precedents, philosophical speculation—all digital-age intellectual activities that must be mediated through AI—will be permanently "translated" into the cognitive products of alphabetic scripts. By then, the mother tongue will no longer be the underlying operating system of civilizational thought, but merely a layer of "skin" on Phonographic AI's interaction interface—the "display language" of Phonographic AI, rather than the "thinking substance" of civilization. Between the digital expression of civilization and its cognitive matrix, an invisible translation fault line will be permanently embedded, and its digital soul will parasitize the cognitive skeleton of another civilization.

VII. Epilogue: The Countdown to the Digital Fall

The "digital fall" does not refer to the physical demise of nations, but to the systematic erosion of civilizational diversity under a single cognitive paradigm. When the vast majority of global intellectual activity is mediated by a system fundamentally rooted in English cognition, civilizational plurality is being devoured by Phonographic AI's pseudo-universal paradigm.

In the tale of "Borrowing Arrows with Straw Boats," Zhuge Liang returned fully laden, Cao Cao's army spent its arrows in vain, and the straw boats were mere tools. Today's AI race is replaying this scene: the Phonographic AI paradigm returns fully laden, the usage inertia of global developers is co-opted, and China's open-source ecosystem, while achieving tremendous technological success, loses its subjectivity at the cognitive paradigm level—even mistakenly believing it has gained true subjectivity.

For the United States, this is a digital extension of its civilizational home ground; for China and all non-alphabetic civilizations, this is a colonial overlay of cognitive paradigms—it is not helping our civilization digitize, but using our own engineering capabilities to pave the foundation for a heterogeneous cognitive paradigm.

Today's "digital fall" is not a sudden collapse of civilization, but the quiet loss of civilizational sovereignty amid the chorus of "technological progress." Humanity faces a catastrophic civilizational crisis.

The window for salvation is closing with increasing speed. According to Liu Shen's assessment in "The Rooted Intelligence: The Paradigm Revolution of Logographic AI," the window of opportunity for humanity to replace AI's cognitive primitives will close around 2028 [6]. Once Phonographic AI's cognitive foundation is fully paved, switching paradigms will face even greater resistance than replacing the internet's underlying protocols today—because by then, the world's code, data, and infrastructure will have grown atop Phonographic AI's foundation.

The tide of civilization rolls forward—those who follow prosper; those who resist perish. Human civilization will not end in a single voice; it will find a second life in the digital age, echoing in the return of a thousand mother tongues [5][6].

References

- [1] Hugging Face. State of Open Models: Summer 2026 Observations[R/OL]. (2026-08-14). <https://huggingface.co/blog/state-of-open-models-summer-2026>
- [2] 黄仁勋：中国 AI 模型非常优秀，"优秀的开源模型应该被使用"[EB/OL]. 环球网, (2026-07-23). <https://3w.huanqiu.com/a/584826/4SUqwCljEHL?agt=143>
- [3] 36 氪. 力挺中国 AI 模型，黄仁勋 70 分钟访谈回应 AI 争议：优秀开源模型应该被使用、英伟达的成功可能复现、AI 消灭一半岗位是胡说八道 [EB/OL]. (2026-07-24). <https://36kr.com/p/3909541514220930>
- [4] 中時新聞網. 直接挑战华府！黄仁勋：中国 AI 模型「非常优秀」美企应被允许用[EB/OL]. (2026-07-23). <https://www.chinatimes.com/cn/realtimenews/20260723002211-260408?chdtv>

- [5] 刘深. 表意 AI vs. 表音 AI: AI 新范式与去殖民化宣言[J/OL]. ChinaXiv, 2025. DOI:10.12074/202503.00051.
- [6] Liu S. The Rooted Intelligence: The Paradigm Revolution of Logographic AI[M]. WindSeaAI, 2026. <https://www.logographicai.com/Monograph>
- [7] Liu S. Zero Human: AI Hacks Itself—A Strategic AGI Forecast and Paradigm Revolution from the Perspective of Logographic AI. WindSeaAI, (2026-07-28). <https://static.xcx.gw66.vip/uploadfilev3/file/0/648/99/2026-07/17852192944823.pdf>
- [8] Liu S. The End of Safety Alignment: Accelerated Verification of AI Runaway Under the Tokenism Paradigm and the Logographic AI Salvation Plan. ChinaXiv, 2026. T202608.00208. <https://chinaxiv.org/businessFile/T202608/T202608.00208v1/T202608.00208v1.pdf>
- [9] Liu S. Agent Has Taken Over AI R&D: Safety Warnings and Logographic AI's Paradigm-Replacement Solution. ChinaXiv, (2026). T202608.00244. <https://chinaxiv.org/businessFile/T202608/T202608.00244v1/T202608.00244v1.pdf>
- [10] Liu S. From Morpho-Root Theory to Engineering Practice: OpenMorpho — Implementation and Verification of an End-to-End Inference Pipeline for Logographic AI[J/OL]. ChinaXiv, 2026. T202608.00048. <https://chinaxiv.org/businessFile/T202608/T202608.00048v1/T202608.00048v1.pdf>
- [11] Ricardo (@Ric_RTP). The most downloaded AI on earth is now Chinese...[Z]. X, 2026-08-17. https://x.com/ric_rtp/status/2089132632332251293
- [12] LeCun Y. A Path Towards Autonomous Machine Intelligence[EB/OL]. OpenReview, 2022. <https://openreview.net/forum?id=BZ5a1r-kVsf>
- [13] Qwen Team. Qwen3 Technical Report[EB/OL]. arXiv, 2025. arXiv:2505.09388. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.09388>